

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/623,533	09/05/2000	Dominique P. Bridon	REDC-1510USA	3921		
20872	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER			
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 MARKET STREET			PARKIN, JEFFREY S			
	ISCO, CA 94105-2482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1648	1648		

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/623,533	BRIDON ET AL.		
Fuenciaes	A 4 11 14		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Jeffrey S. Parkin,	Ph.D.	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover s	heet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 August, 2005,</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on				ndonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow				
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No				
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	ce with 37 CFR 1.1	14. The reply m	ust be filed within one	of the following
time periods:				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or			•	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ETINOTINETET WAST	ILLO WIIIIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		ner trie manning de	no or the interrejection, t	you in amony mod,
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. 🛮 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 December 2005</u> . A				
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a				
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	y must be filed with	in the time perio	od set forth in 37 CFR	41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,				ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		h	dusian an aimalifiina	lha iaawaa faa
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	tter form for appear	by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding num	ber of finally rei	iected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			,00104 0.4	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		otice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			on phone in the same in the sa	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		d in a separate.	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		и сорилио,		
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		red, or b) 🔲 wi	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	vided below or appe	ended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 4, 6, 19-21, 31, 36-39, 52, 53, and	d 55			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u> </u>			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
$B. \ \square$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	d sufficient reasons	why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•	•		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ii oi tile status oi til	e claims after e	inity is below of attack	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place t	he application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	it dood it o't place t	по арриосает п	ir domainom for anomar	.00 0000000.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTC	D-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	
13. Other:	•	, ,	()	
			Joffen C Portion D	h D
			Jeffrey S. Parkin, P Primary Examiner	ט.ט.
			Art Unit: 1648	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' proposed amendment significantly changes the scope and nature of the invention and will clearly require further consideration and/or searching. Applicants are reminded that they cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate

previously canceled claims. Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 with a

submission (i.e., an amendment that meets the reply requirement of 37 CFR 1.111) and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e).